O5OVGUOVD1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 ----x UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 3 23 Cr. 118 (AT) v. 4 MILES GUO, 5 Voir Dire Defendant. 6 -----X New York, N.Y. 7 May 24, 2024 9:00 a.m. 8 Before: 9 10 HON. ANALISA TORRES, 11 District Judge 12 **APPEARANCES** 13 DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney for the 14 Southern District of New York BY: MICAH F. FERGENSON RYAN B. FINKEL 15 JUSTIN HORTON 16 JULIANA N. MURRAY Assistant United States Attorneys 17 SABRINA P. SHROFF 18 Attorney for Defendant 19 PRYOR CASHMAN LLP Attorneys for Defendant 20 BY: SIDHARDHA KAMARAJU MATTHEW BARKAN 21 ALSTON & BIRD LLP 22 Attorneys for Defendant BY: E. SCOTT SCHIRICK 23 2.4 25

II O5OVGUOVD1

1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	Isabel Loftus, Paralegal Specialist, USAO Michael Gartland, Paralegal Specialist, USAO
3	Geoffrey Mearns, Paralegal Specialist, USAO Robert Stout, Special Agent, FBI
4	Ruben Montilla, Defense Paralegal Tuo Huang, Interpreter (Mandarin)
5	Shi Feng, Interpreter (Mandarin) Victor Chang, Interpreter (Mandarin)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	THE COURT: Good morning.
2	Please state your appearances.
3	MR. FINKEL: Good morning, your Honor.
4	Ryan Finkel, Juliana Murray, Micah Fergenson, Justin
5	Horton will be joining us in a minute, for the United States.
6	We're joined by Isabel Loftus, who is a paralegal with
7	our office, and Special Agent Robert Stout.
8	MR. KAMARAJU: Good morning, your Honor.
9	Sidhardha Kamaraju, Sabrina Shroff, Scott Schirick,
10	and Matthew Barkan on behalf of Mr. Guo. And Mr. Guo is with
11	us at counsel table.
12	THE COURT: Please be seated.
13	There's an update on our jurors. 73 did not come to
14	court this morning, complaining of gastrointestinal problems.
15	I understand that the defense would like me to question No.
16	107.
17	But before I get to that, I've just received a note
18	that 173 wants to speak with me concerning her commute. So if
19	you'd get 173, please.
20	While we're waiting for 173, I'd like to address the
21	letter from the defense that came in yesterday.
22	MS. SHROFF: Your Honor?
23	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Excuse me?
24	MS. SHROFF: I think Rego is trying to get the Court's
25	attention

1 THE COURT: Oh.

With respect to Juror No. 107, my understanding is the concern is that the individual may have spoken with number 108, whose father worked at the Sherry-Netherland Hotel, and who had made comments concerning the defendant and the fire. And they've asked that I pose four questions.

Is there any objection from the prosecution?

MR. FINKEL: Government has no objection to general questions about whether she spoke with Juror 108 about this case, the defendant, or what her father does.

THE COURT: All righty. And if you would bring the microphone closer to you, please.

MR. FINKEL: Sure.

THE COURT: So let's have -- do we have any of the jurors ready who wanted to speak with me?

Juror No. 173.

(Juror present)

THE COURT: Good morning. What is your number, please?

JUROR: 173.

THE COURT: And what is your concern?

JUROR: My concern is the commute. I -- it's like too much traffic. I'm -- like, I reached home yesterday at 7:45.

I did dinner. I also have to work for my work stuff. And I left today morning at 7:15. For seven weeks I don't think I

can physically do it.

THE COURT: Do you suffer from some sort of a health condition?

JUROR: It's not health condition. I think I will be so, like, stressed out and anxious about the whole thing. And, you know, I can do it for one week, two weeks also, but seven continuous weeks, I don't think I can sustain that.

THE COURT: Do you suffer from a mental --

JUROR: No, I don't, I don't.

THE COURT: You're saying that you find the demands of being a juror to be stressful?

JUROR: Very, very stressful. And, like, I cannot tell you -- I couldn't sleep last night. Whole last night I was awake and I could not sleep. I'm very, very stressed out with the whole thing. It's a commitment, right. And I don't think, you know, with traveling every day back and forth, two, two and a half hours driving, then parking, then walking, I cannot do this for seven full weeks. I mean, that's my concern.

THE COURT: And where do you live?

JUROR: Nanuet, Rockland County.

THE COURT: Okay. So many people who live in your area commute to New York City every single day to Lower Manhattan.

JUROR: I know. But I never chose a job here. I work

20

21

22

23

24

25

	OSOVGOOVDI
1	in that side. And I'm never used to this kind of commute ever.
2	THE COURT: Have you ever suffered from any kind of
3	mental breakdown?
4	JUROR: No, no, no.
5	THE COURT: You've coped with stress; is that correct?
6	JUROR: Of course I do, yeah. I mean, I do that.
7	But, you know, it's like very I mean, if I cannot rationally
8	think and calm down and participate, I don't think I will be
9	able to contribute at all.
10	THE COURT: You're saying you would not be in a
11	rational state?
12	JUROR: I mean, yes, if I'm stressed out with driving
13	all the day, after one week I will be stressed out, yes. I
14	mean, I'm not used to this city driving at all. I drive in,
15	you know, Nanuet, Tarrytown, where there's hardly any traffic.
16	THE COURT: So you have the option of taking a bus
17	from Nanuet.
18	JUROR: I have tried that. Actually, I didn't try it
19	myself, but I looked at that option. That's even longer

JUROR: I have tried that. Actually, I didn't try it myself, but I looked at that option. That's even longer because you have to take the bus from Nanuet, come to, you know --

THE COURT: Port Authority.

JUROR: Yeah. And then from there you have to take two more buses to come here.

THE COURT: Well, you can take the subway.

2.4

1 JUROR: You can take the subway.

I even explored going to Hoboken, parking there, and taking the water ferry and coming here. But, as I said, like, you know, I want like a smooth transition.

As I mentioned yesterday, my husband has a kidney transplant. My daughter is ten years old. If something happens and I'm, like, stressed out, it will be a disaster.

And it's a commitment you want from us, right? So that's why seven weeks' commitment is very hard for me. I mean, if it was seriously -- if it was one week -- I mean, it's an honor. And If it is one week, I'll do it; I'll still work it out. But seven continuous week, I don't think I will be physically or mentally there.

THE COURT: So are you saying that you would not be able to listen to the witnesses?

JUROR: I will listen, I mean definitely I will be able to listen to the witness -- I mean, you know, of course I will do that. But to think it through rationally and understand - you want 100 percent participation from each one of the juror, that's where I'm kind of disconnected on finding that, you know, I won't be able to commit myself.

THE COURT: So you have an advanced degree in science; isn't that correct?

JUROR: Yeah, I have a Ph.D., yes, yeah.

THE COURT: And so perhaps 75 percent of you is better

than 100 percent of most other people, wouldn't you make that argument?

JUROR: No. I mean, I didn't even discuss my other part, which is my work. So I'm just frankly telling you -- I mean, my work -- my company, they do give you time for jury duty, that's not a concern. But I have an entire group under me. And the place where I work in the company, I handle research, you know, information all the time, it goes for regulatory filing. And I have to review, approve, make sure those things.

So with this commute and everything, I also have to think it through. And in the evening when I go back, I have to tell my, you know, group people to make sure that things are smoothly operating there, because those things are not going to stop because I'm coming here. And all of a sudden I -- seven weeks, I have to unplug myself, everything together, it's very overwhelming for me.

THE COURT: All righty, ma'am. You may step out.
(Juror not present)

THE COURT: Do I have an application from either side?

MR. FINKEL: Your Honor, I think ultimately the

Court -- excuse me. Ultimately, the government defers to the

Court's judgment on this one. It seems as though she's going

to be quite distracted, to a point where it might interfere

with her --

1 THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. FINKEL: It seems as though she's going to be quite distracted, to the point where it might interfere with her juror service, which I think is an important consideration, balancing all of the various factors.

The Court has more experience on these matters than the government does. And if the Court feels that she would be an appropriate juror to continue in the process, then the government would have no objection to leaving her in; but also defers to the Court more generally, given your interactions with her.

MR. KAMARAJU: I'm not sure exactly what the government's position is. But I think from the defense perspective, your Honor, we do think that between the fact that she may come in late, given her commute, and then she appears to be very distracted and distraught, we think it's appropriate to dismiss her. We understand a lot of people have that commute, but this juror in particular is displaying considerable stress about it.

THE COURT: I believe we need to raise the volume on your microphone.

Do I understand you to say that you believe that her level of stress will somehow compromise her ability to serve as a juror?

MR. KAMARAJU: I think there's two issues, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One is I think, given the commute, I do think there's a risk of that her continued commute will lead to disruption of the court proceedings. But, two, yes, I think that the level of stress that this juror displayed in discussing with your Honor suggests that she may have trouble participating fully in the proceedings. THE COURT: And so your application is that I excuse her; correct?

MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The application is granted.

I'm told that No. 14 is not here and my staff will place a call to him.

MR. KAMARAJU: Your Honor, could we have a very brief sidebar?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KAMARAJU: Thank you.

(At sidebar)

THE COURT: One moment.

Go ahead.

MR. KAMARAJU: I'm sure your Honor took note of it, but we just noticed obviously a number of people from the public filed into the courtroom.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KAMARAJU: Previously jury selection had been done - I don't know closed courtroom, but with the courtroom

cleared. I wasn't sure if your Honor intended to do that or they are going to remain. That's it. I didn't want to raise it in front of them.

THE COURT: Understood.

I wasn't expecting that group, and I think that they should step out.

MS. SHROFF: Thank you.

MR. KAMARAJU: That's fine with us.

MR. FINKEL: May I just note for the record that the press was here for parts of the day yesterday. And there's also an overflow room in which the public is attending. I just want to make sure that public access is not an issue for the defense, that's all.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, we're only raising this because of jury selection. And this morning, earlier, there was no such concern because the juror was here. And obviously -- we don't want anything attributed to the defense, so we're not making any such argument. We're just being cautious, that's all.

THE COURT: So I received a letter from the government last night and a response from the defense today, ECFs 350 and 351. And the government is asking that I preclude the defense from making certain arguments.

First, the government asked that I bar Mr. Guo from stating that his alleged criminal organization was a structure

designed to frustrate a Chinese government campaign to stifle, kidnap, or kill him. The government contends that this is an improper attempt to advance a defense of duress or justification.

Mr. Guo has said before that he will not offer such a defense and, again, he says he does not -- he will not offer this defense. However, he does seek to argue that the targeting by the Chinese Communist Party is relevant to his intent to defraud, which is an element of the fraud charges.

So I want to understand what the government's position is with respect to this.

MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor.

So we understand the Court's prior rulings. We're not seeking for any reconsideration of them, meaning we understand that this evidence is admissible, right. What they can't do is admit this evidence and then make improper arguments about it.

So as I understand the Court's rulings, the essence of this evidence is that when the defendant was hiding things, he was hiding it from the CCP not out of any intent to defraud, right. But they in these articles have said, Well, the fact that he was targeted and was a victim, that tells you he couldn't have victimized anybody else. That is improper. They cannot do that. And we are deeply concerned that they are going to use your Honor's ruling on admissibility to then make improper arguments.

THE COURT: All right. So let's assume that his argument is: I am the Martin Luther King of Chinese people; and I am known in the community for my probity and my devotion to a righteous cause. Therefore, I could never commit a crime.

Is that what you're looking for me to preclude?

MR. FERGENSON: No, your Honor. That's different.

That's different, right.

Because what your Honor suggested is closer to a pertinent trait, which the defendant is allowed to offer, right. That's different than saying I was a victim. Being a victim is not a pertinent trait; and saying they were trying to kidnap and kill me, so I'm innocent, you can't do that. You cannot do that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So is that an argument that you intend to advance?

MR. KAMARAJU: No, your Honor.

The argument which we actually laid out in our original motion papers is that the government has made an argument that Mr. Guo has a motive to commit fraud, and that motive is that he needs the money. They've said that over and over again.

And so our position is, is that his -- the things that he endured as part of the targeting showed his commitment to the movement. The commitment to the movement is relative to the motive question, because the jury is entitled to balance

that commitment against the idea he would truly throw that all away for the money. It's not a question of "I'm an honest man before, so I'll always be an honest man"; it's not a question of "I'm a victim so therefore you should take pity on me."

It's very simply that we are allowed to argue -- we've made this point before. We are allowed to argue that the defendant does not have the motive that the government ascribes to him, and does not have the intent that the government ascribes to him because he has been faithful to the movement.

MR. FERGENSON: Respectfully, your Honor, this motive argument is not one they have pressed. They have pressed the consciousness of guilt argument. The only thing your Honor has ruled on before is consciousness of guilt and the GTV thing about GTV's value. That's fair game. The consciousness of guilt like hiding things, fair game. The GTV's value based on being censored, fair game. This motive argument is new.

THE COURT: Okay. So if it's new, they could make new arguments throughout the trial. So what is your stance with respect to the new argument?

MR. FERGENSON: The new argument is that improper argument that I was just explaining.

In the press, your Honor, they argued that him being a victim is a sign -- direct quote, is a sign that he would not victimize others. They should not be allowed to make that argument your Honor. It is clearly impermissible.

MR. KAMARAJU: First of all, that's not a direct quote. That's not the quote at all. Having given the quote, I know that's not the quote.

Second of all, if the government would like to review our opposition papers to their motion *in limine*, we have a whole section on this motive argument.

THE COURT: All right. But we're here now. And is your argument "I'm an oppressed individual, therefore, I would never be an oppressor"?

MR. KAMARAJU: No, your Honor. Our argument would not -- that would be closer to the victimhood argument that they are trying paint.

Our argument is simply this: If a person devotes significant resources and significant effort to an endeavor, that defendant is entitled to argue "Look at everything that I put into this; why would I destroy it." Especially when their argument is he would destroy it because it's about money.

So we're allowed to say those two things do not balance out. That's all we're saying, your Honor.

MR. FERGENSON: If I could read the direct quote, your Honor. It's --

THE COURT: From where?

MR. FERGENSON: This is from The New York Times article.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FERGENSON: It's a quote of Mr. Kamaraju. The article says: The campaign he endured "is a sign that he would never victimize anybody," Mr. Kamaraju said in an interview.

MS. SHROFF: I think his name is Kamaraju.

MR. FERGENSON: I'm sorry. Not intentional.

That is impermissible, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So are you moving away from your quote?

MR. KAMARAJU: So, first of all, that's the way they quoted me in the article. A sign is no different than evidence, your Honor. So even if you want to take that quote, sign and evidence, we are not going to argue that because he's a victim, there's no way he could ever victimize anybody else. We are saying very specifically with respect to the government's charges in this case, they are alleging that he harmed his movement. He's entitled to say, No, I would not harm my movement by stealing money. That's all. And he's allowed to present evidence that would support that.

THE COURT: So essentially his devotion to the cause should be considered by the jurors in evaluating whether he could form criminal intent.

MS. SHROFF: Exactly.

MR. KAMARAJU: And whether he'd have the motive that the government ascribes to.

MR. FERGENSON: But your characterization, your Honor,

2.4

is different from what they have set forth in the press and in their papers, which is being targeted, attempted to be kidnapped and killed is why. That's different than devotion to the cause.

THE COURT: So we've got a New York jury. Because I was targeted by the communist party, therefore, I could steal from all of these people. It's an absurd argument and it's never going to be bought, even if they make it.

MR. KAMARAJU: We're not making it.

THE COURT: They don't plan to make that.

MS. SHROFF: You know, your Honor, they can always stand up --

MR. FERGENSON: I think that is exactly what they've been saying.

MR. KAMARAJU: I'm sitting here on the record right now saying what our argument is.

(Indiscernible crosstalk)

MR. FERGENSON: -- paraphrasing it. It's the same argument.

THE COURT: No, it's one thing to say: Because I am a victim, I cannot victimize. It's another thing to say:

Consider my devotion to this cause in assessing whether I had the motive to steal.

MS. SHROFF: Exactly.

THE COURT: I think it's different.

MR. KAMARAJU: It's no different than a business, your Honor. If a founder of a business said, I built this business from scratch, I would not harm it by stealing from its coffers, that's the exact same argument. And that gets made in white-collar cases all the time.

MR. FERGENSON: That is fair, your Honor.

What is different is arguing one step removed, which is, Because I was a victim, I would never commit this crime.

THE COURT: Right. Well, even if they argue that -- even if they try that, it's totally ineffective.

MR. FERGENSON: That is what the quote says, your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand. But he's not talking to The New York Times, he's now talking to the Court and to the jury.

And I would not permit him to say "Because I'm a victim, I cannot victimize." That is a silly argument. He says he's not going to make it.

MR. FERGENSON: Okay. As long as your Honor is going to -- we may need to object. We'll deal with it, as they say.

THE COURT: Absolutely. Absolutely.

The government also seeks to preclude Mr. Guo from arguing that the prosecution team is the result of a malign CCP influence, lobbying, or corruption. But Mr. Guo says that he will not make that argument and so that's denied as moot.

The government requests that the Court remind defense

O50VGUOVD1

25

counsel of their obligations pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 1 2 23.1. And the defense states that they are aware of their 3 obligations. 4 Anything else? 5 MR. KAMARAJU: Not from us, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Are they gone? 7 MS. SHROFF: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's continue. 9 MR. KAMARAJU: Thank you, your Honor. 10 (In open court) THE COURT: All righty. Please have No. 107 come into 11 12 the courtroom. 13 (Juror present) 14 THE COURT: Good morning. Good morning. 15 JUROR: 16 THE COURT: What number are you? 17 JUROR: 107. 18 THE COURT: Yesterday there was an individual seated 19 to your left who is No. 108. 20 JUROR: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Did you speak with that person? 22 JUROR: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Did she mention to you anything about the 24 Sherry-Netherland Hotel?

JUROR: Yes, she did. But I really didn't understand

25

1	what she was saying.
2	THE COURT: Did she say anything about a relative of
3	hers?
4	JUROR: She said her her stepfather worked there as
5	a houseman.
6	THE COURT: And did she say whether the stepfather
7	expressed any opinions concerning his work?
8	JUROR: Not at all, no.
9	THE COURT: Any opinions concerning any party in this
10	case?
11	JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Did she say whether she was aware of
13	anything that may have happened at the Sherry-Netherland Hotel?
14	JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: Did she discuss with you this case in
16	general?
17	JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: All righty. You may step out.
19	(Juror not present)
20	THE COURT: So what 107 just said about 108,
21	mentioning that the stepfather worked as a houseman, is
22	something that was on the record; it was not part of a private
23	conversation. And I see, in my opinion, there's no evidence
24	that she's been in any way compromised.

Do I have the agreement of both sides?

1	MR. FINKEL: You do from the government, your Honor.
2	MR. KAMARAJU: That's fine, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right, then. Let's have No. 191 come
4	in. That was an individual who was checking on getting paid
5	for jury service. I'm also told that the jury department has
6	said never mind. If you'll come in, sir.
7	(Juror present)
8	THE COURT: Good morning.
9	JUROR: Good morning.
10	THE COURT: What is your number?
11	JUROR: 191.
12	THE COURT: And have you gotten any further
13	information?
14	JUROR: I did. Two weeks. And I have a letter.
15	THE COURT: It's two weeks.
16	JUROR: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Let me see your letter, please.
18	So this is a letter from Cassandra Wetzel, PE, who is
19	a principal of GZA GeoEnvironmental of New York. It's
20	addressed to the Court.
21	It states that: Paid time off for jury duty is for a
22	maximum period of up to two weeks.
23	All righty, sir. You may step out.
24	(Juror not present)
25	THE COURT: Do the parties have any objection to my

1	excusing him on the basis of the financial hardship?
2	MR. FINKEL: Government has no position.
3	MR. KAMARAJU: We don't have an objection to you
4	excusing.
5	THE COURT: All right. So 191 is excused.
6	Let's have 163.
7	(Juror present)
8	THE COURT: Good morning.
9	If you'll tell me your number, please.
10	JUROR: 163.
L1	THE COURT: And what have you found out?
L2	JUROR: So including holidays, there's only a one-day
L3	discrepancy in which I may or may not get compensated. I sent
L 4	an email; I emphasized that you wanted an answer as well; and
L5	by this morning, when I checked, I didn't get a response. But
L6	I can check during lunchtime.
L7	THE COURT: So if it turns out that they will not
L8	compensate you for one day
L 9	JUROR: I don't care. That's fine.
20	THE COURT: you still feel that you could serve as
21	a juror?
22	JUROR: Yeah, if it's however, if the case gets
23	extended beyond July 12th, then it might be an issue.
24	THE COURT: Okay. But if we stop at July 12th,
25	then

O50VGUOVD1

JUROR: I'll be fine.
THE COURT: you'll be fine.
JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: All righty. Thank you.
If you'll step out, please.
(Juror not present)
THE COURT: All right. I see no reason to excuse No.
163. Is that agreed?
MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: The jury department has said — and I quote
- that they have given up on No. 14, who has not appeared.
Any objection to my excusing No. 14?
MR. FINKEL: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: I'm sorry?
THE LAW CLERK: I think he just showed up.
THE COURT: Oh, he did? Okay.
14 has arrived.
So let's review where we are with the peremptories.
MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, may we have two minutes with
Mr. Guo? He has some issue he wants to raise.
THE COURT: You can, yes.
MS. SHROFF: Thank you. We did speak to him this
morning, but it seems to be a new issue, so that's why I ask.
THE COURT: Okay.

1	MS. SHROFF: Thank you.
2	(Counsel conferred with defendant)
3	MS. SHROFF: Thank you, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. So let's just go over where we
5	stand. The defense has used
6	THE INTERPRETER: Excuse me, your Honor. Let me get
7	back to my station. I'm sorry.
8	THE COURT: The defense has used five peremptory
9	strikes: Juror No. 4, Juror No. 5, Juror No. 7, Juror No. 11,
10	and
11	MR. KAMARAJU: I think it's No. 15, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: And No. 15. That is correct.
13	Prosecution has not used any peremptories; am I
14	correct?
15	MR. FINKEL: No, your Honor, we used three.
16	THE COURT: They used three?
17	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So let us go over those three.
19	MR. FINKEL: It was, if my records are correct, your
20	Honor, 49, 69, and 70.
21	THE COURT: Yes, 49, 69, and 70. And we've determined
22	that Juror No. 1 will be the old Juror No. 10; correct?
23	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: And that Juror No. 2 will be the old Juror
25	No. 34; correct?

1	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: And so in creating a new group of 12,
3	we're starting with 73; correct?
4	MR. KAMARAJU: I think your Honor mentioned this
5	morning 73
6	THE COURT: Oh, 73 is out. I'm sorry. I didn't mark
7	it down. 73 is out. So we're starting with 77.
8	MR. KAMARAJU: That's what we have, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. 77, then 78, 79, 81, 83, 88, 90,
LO	104, 105, 106, 107, and 115. Is that right?
L1	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
L2	THE COURT: Okay. So we now go back to the defense.
L3	Looking at that group that I just mentioned, starting
L 4	with 77, are there any peremptories from the defense?
L5	MR. KAMARAJU: Just one moment, your Honor.
L6	Your Honor, I just want to confirm, No. 12 is 115?
L7	THE COURT: No. 12 is 115; correct.
L8	MR. KAMARAJU: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.
L9	Then we will strike 88.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So 88 is defense peremptory number
21	six.
22	Go ahead.
23	MR. KAMARAJU: 105.
24	THE COURT: 105 is defense peremptory number seven.
25	MR. KAMARAJU: Oh, sorry, your Honor. 107.

1	THE COURT: 107 is defense peremptory number eight.
2	MR. KAMARAJU: And then finally, 115, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: 115 is defense peremptory number nine.
4	Okay. Do we have any peremptories from the
5	prosecution?
6	MR. FINKEL: One second, your Honor.
7	(Counsel conferred)
8	MR. FINKEL: Your Honor, the government strikes 77.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So government peremptory number
LO	is that four?
L1	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
L2	THE COURT: Government peremptory number four is Juror
L3	77.
L4	MR. FINKEL: And we also strike, your Honor, 104.
L5	THE COURT: Okay. Government peremptory five is
L 6	number 104.
L7	Okay. So as it stands right now, Juror No. 1 is
L8	former Juror No. 10; Juror No. 2 is former Juror 34; Juror No.
L 9	3 is former Juror No. 78. Correct?
20	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
21	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Juror No. 4 is former Juror 79; correct?
23	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
24	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Juror No. 5 is former Juror 81; correct?

1	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Juror No. 6 is former Juror 83, right?
3	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Juror No. 7 is former Juror 90; correct?
5	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: I think that is as far as we get; is that
7	correct?
8	MR. FINKEL: Juror 106, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Oh, 106. That's right. No. 106, former
10	106, is now Juror 8. So we now need a new group of 12.
11	All righty. So our first individual that we will be
12	considering is No. 20; correct? 120.
13	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: The second will be 126, right?
15	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: The third, 128, right?
17	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: The fourth, 135, right?
19	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: The fifth, 137, right?
21	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: The sixth, 138, right?
23	MR. FINKEL: Correct, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: The seventh, 142; correct?
25	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.

O50VGUOVD1

1	THE COURT: The eighth, 145, right?
2	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: The ninth, 146; correct?
4	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: The tenth, 147, right?
6	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: The eleventh, 161; correct?
8	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And the twelfth, 163; correct?
10	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	So we go back to the defense and we start with 120.
13	MR. KAMARAJU: Your Honor, one moment.
14	(Counsel conferred)
15	MR. KAMARAJU: 137, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: 137 is defense peremptory number seven;
17	correct?
18	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor. I'm sorry, number
19	seven?
20	THE COURT: No. 137 is defense peremptory number
21	MR. KAMARAJU: I think it might be ten.
22	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
23	MR. KAMARAJU: I think it's ten.
24	MS. SHROFF: We'd like it to be seven.
25	MR. KAMARAJU: I certainly wish it was seven, your

1	Honor.
2	THE COURT: Oh, yeah, it is ten.
3	So remind me which one you just struck.
4	MR. KAMARAJU: 137.
5	THE COURT: 137 is defense peremptory number ten.
6	Is that it?
7	MR. KAMARAJU: From us, your Honor?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes.
LO	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. You've used all of your
L1	ten peremptories.
L2	And now from the government.
L3	MR. FINKEL: Can I just have one moment with defense
L 4	counsel quickly?
L5	THE COURT: Yes.
L 6	(Counsel conferred)
L7	MR. FINKEL: Just one moment, your Honor.
L8	Government strikes 128.
L9	THE COURT: So 128 is government peremptory six;
20	correct?
21	MR. FINKEL: That's correct, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. So both sides have used up
23	their peremptories.
24	So to review, Juror No. 1 is former No. 10; Juror No.
25	2 is former 34; Juror No. 3 is former 78; Juror No. 4 is former

O50VGUOVD1

25

1	79; No. 5, former 81; No. 6, former 83; No. 7, former 90;
2	number 106 is former 106 is Juror No. 8; Juror No. 9 is
3	former 120; correct?
4	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Juror No. 10 is former 126; correct?
6	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Juror No. 135 is Juror No. 11; correct?
8	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And Juror No. 12 is former 142; correct?
10	MR. FINKEL: No, your Honor, I believe it's 138.
11	THE COURT: Oh, 138. You're correct. Yes, 138. 138.
12	So we have a jury.
13	Now we're going to pick the six alternates. And we
14	will go one-by-one. And you can exercise or not exercise your
15	peremptory for each individual.
16	MR. KAMARAJU: Can you just remind me, your Honor, how
17	many peremptories the defense has? I just want to make sure we
18	have the right number.
19	THE COURT: I think it's three, isn't it? Yeah, three
20	for each side.
21	MR. KAMARAJU: Okay. So if we could have one moment,
22	your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	(Counsel conferred)

MR. FINKEL: Your Honor, just to make sure the

1	government has it right, currently we're considering 142?
2	THE COURT: We are considering 142.
3	MR. FINKEL: So the defense has an opportunity to
4	strike, and then we would have an opportunity to strike. If
5	neither strikes, 142 is the first alternate and we continue?
6	THE COURT: If that individual is not stricken.
7	MR. FINKEL: Yes.
8	THE COURT: That person is Alternate No. 1.
9	MR. FINKEL: And we keep going till
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. FINKEL: Thank you, your Honor.
12	MR. KAMARAJU: We're not going to strike 142, your
13	Honor.
14	MR. FINKEL: No strike from the government.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So No. 142 is Alternate 1.
16	Now we're moving on to 145.
17	MR. KAMARAJU: We don't intend to strike 145, your
18	Honor.
19	MR. FINKEL: The government strikes 145.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So this is government peremptory
21	for an alternate number one with regard to 145.
22	Now we're looking at 146.
23	Does the defense strike 146?
24	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. So that is defense peremptory for

1	an alternate number one, and 146 is stricken.
2	Now we're going to go to 147.
3	Does the defense have a strike as to 147?
4	MR. KAMARAJU: We're not going to strike 147, your
5	Honor.
6	THE COURT: And the government?
7	MR. FINKEL: The government does not strike.
8	THE COURT: All right. So No. 147 is Alternate No. 2.
9	Now we are going to 161.
10	Does the defense strike 161?
11	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: And so that is defense peremptory strike
13	for an alternate number two, second strike of 161.
14	Now we're going to 163.
15	Does the defense have a strike on 163?
16	MR. KAMARAJU: No, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: And the prosecution?
18	MR. FINKEL: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So 163 is Alternate No. 3; correct?
20	MR. FINKEL: Alternate 4, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Who is Alternate 3?
22	MR. FINKEL: Oh, you're right. I'm sorry, your Honor.
23	MR. KAMARAJU: That's right.
24	MR. FINKEL: My apologies.
25	THE COURT: All right. Now we are looking at 173.

| O50VGUOVD1

1	Does the defense
2	MS. SHROFF: I think you excused her.
3	THE COURT: I did?
4	MS. SHROFF: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Oh, 173 I did excuse, that's right. She's
6	gone.
7	Now we are looking at 186; correct?
8	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
9	MR. KAMARAJU: I'm sorry, your Honor, I was just
10	consulting.
11	THE COURT: Is there a strike on one
12	MR. KAMARAJU: The defense is not going to strike him,
13	your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. FINKEL: Nor does the government.
16	THE COURT: So 186 becomes Alternate No. 4.
17	187. Does the defense have a strike?
18	MR. KAMARAJU: We don't have a strike, your Honor.
19	MR. FINKEL: The government strikes 187.
20	THE COURT: So is that government strike of alternate
21	number two?
22	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So that is government strike for an
24	alternate number two.
25	Now we are going on to No. 192.

1 Does the defense have a strike? I'm sorry? 190. You're right, it's 190. Go ahead. 2 3 (Counsel conferred) 4 MR. KAMARAJU: Your Honor, we'll strike 190. 5 THE COURT: So that is defense peremptory strike of an alternate number three, the last one. 6 7 Go on to 192. So at this point there are no strikes for the defense left. And so the question is whether or not 8 9 the prosecution wants to strike 192. 10 MS. SHROFF: They don't have a strike left. 11 THE COURT: Excuse me? 12 MR. FINKEL: We have one strike left. 13 So, your Honor, we're not going to strike 192. So I think that makes them Alternate 5. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. So 192 is Alternate No. 5. 16 MR. FINKEL: We are going to strike 195. 17 THE COURT: All righty. The government uses its last 18 peremptory for an alternate, No. 195, which means that the 19 sixth alternate is No. 199. Agreed? 20 MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor. 21 MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 2.3 MR. FINKEL: We did it. 2.4 THE COURT: We have a jury. 25 Are there any open issues before I have the panel

24

25

	OSOVGOOVD1
1	sworn?
2	MR. FINKEL: Not from the government.
3	MS. SHROFF: No, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Are you happy with where the lecturn is?
5	MR. KAMARAJU: Ms. Shroff is making argument, so it's
6	up to her.
7	MS. SHROFF: I'm a little short, but I think it's I
8	tested it out. I think I'm okay.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MS. SHROFF: I'm not fussy.
11	MR. FERGENSON: Your Honor, if we could, if it's
12	possible to turn it.
13	THE COURT: To tilt it more at an angle?
14	MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: I have to inquire. When I have the jurors
16	brought in and swear them, everyone is ready to start?
17	MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.
18	MR. KAMARAJU: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: We're going to bring in the jurors.
20	(Venire present)
21	THE LAW CLERK: Good morning, jurors. Thank you for
22	returning this morning. You can come in. No need to sit down.
23	We'll be seating the jurors and the alternates and then

excusing the remainder. So once everyone is in, I will ask the jurors -- the people who have been assigned to the jury to come

1	forward, you'll be seated, so no need to take a seat in any of
2	the rows right now. We're just waiting for everyone to come
3	in. The Court greatly appreciates you being here again today.
4	The jurors can make their way in. No need to find a
5	seat, as we'll be sitting the jury in the jury box immediately.
6	Again, thank you all for being here today. We will be seating
7	the jury in the jury box and the alternates as well and then
8	excusing the remainder.
9	Will Juror 10 please come forward. Juror 10, you are
10	Juror No. 1.
11	Will Juror 34 please come forward. Juror 34, you are
12	Juror 2.
13	Will Juror 78 please come forward. Juror 78, you are
14	now Juror 3.
15	Will Juror 79 please come forward. You are now Juror
16	4.
17	Will Juror 81 please come forward. You are Juror 5.
18	Will Juror 83 please come forward. You are now Juror
19	6.
20	Will Juror 90 please come forward. You are now Juror
21	7.
22	Will Juror 106 please come forward. You are now Juror
23	8.
24	Will Juror 120 please come forward. You are now Juror
25	9.

1	Will Juror 126 please come forward. You are Juror 10.
2	Will Juror 135 please come forward. You are Juror 11.
3	Will juror 138 please come forward. You are Juror 12.
4	Will Juror 142 please come forward. You are Alternate
5	No. 1.
6	Will Juror 147 please come forward. You are Alternate
7	2.
8	Will Juror 163 please come forward. You are Alternate
9	3.
10	Will Juror 186 please come forward. You are Alternate
11	4.
12	Will Juror 192 please come forward. You are Alternate
13	5.
14	Will Juror 199 please come forward. You are Alternate
15	6.
16	Those jurors who are still standing in the gallery,
17	the Court greatly appreciates you being here yesterday, if you
18	were here the day before as well. Thank you for your time and
19	patience and for fulfilling this civic duty of jury selection.
20	You are excused.
21	(Venire not present)
22	(Jury selection concluded)
23	
24	
25	